BATESBURG-LEESVILLE HIGH 600 Summerland Avenue Batesburg-Leesville, SC 29006 9-12 High School GRADES 618 Students ENROLLMENT Pat Padgett 803-532-9251 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. William Gummerson 803-532-4423 Randy Fox 803-532-8000 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: EXCELLENT Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 18 16 1 0 0 IMPROVEMENT RATING: **EXCELLENT** ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: YES This school met 17 out of 17 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT:

WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM
WWW.SCEOC.ORG

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Good	Below Average	N/A
2002	Good	Below Average	N/A
2003	Good	Unsatisfactory	No
2004	Excellent	Excellent	Yes

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC
 Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS

		Our School	l		jh Schools v dents Like (
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006
Passed 2 subtests	75.5	N/A	N/A	77.5	N/A	N/A
Passed 1 subtest	15.5	N/A	N/A	12.1	N/A	N/A
Passed no subtests	9.0	N/A	N/A	10.4	N/A	N/A

EXIT EXAM PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2004

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	100.0%	95.7%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP

Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	18.5	14.7
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	18.5	15.1
Seniors who met the grade point average	50.8	51.8

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours		
Number of Students	125	227		
Number of Diplomas	101	177		
Rate	80.8%	78.1%		

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS								
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2004			Eligibility for LIFE Scholarship		Graduation Rate		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective	
All Students	122	100.0	124	18.5	125	80.8	YES	
Gender								
Male	54	100.0	57	19.3	59	76.3	N/A	
Female	68	100.0	67	17.9	66	84.8	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	84	100.0	81	22.2	87	82.8	N/A	
African-American	38	100.0	43	11.6	37	78.4		
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	
Hispanic	0	N/A	0	N/A	1	I/S	N/A	
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
Non disabled	105	100.0	116	19.8	116	84.5	N/A	
Disabilities other than speech	17	100.0	8	0.0	9	33.3	N/A	
Migrant Status								
Migrant	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	
Non-migrant	122	100.0	124	18.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	
Non-Limited English Proficient	122	100.0	124	18.5	124	80.6	N/A	
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	36	100.0	40	12.5	35	74.3	N/A	
Full-pay meals	86	100.0	84	21.4	90	83.3	N/A	

HSAP PERFORMANCE		_		-	-				-
	Enrollment 1st	ه / يَو	% Below Basis] / [% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced of	Performance Objective	Participation
		" resting " Tested	M _B	% Basic	oficie	, lan	Cien		
		% /	Be/	/ %	/ %	/ %	P. 20 1	Perfe	Jag.
	1				/	/	% A	<u> </u>	
Engli All Students	sh/Langua 156	ge Arts - \$	State Perf 15.4	ormance 30.1	Objective 34.0	= 33.3% 20.5	66.7	YES	YES
Gender	130	100.0	13.4	30.1	34.0	20.5	00.7	IES	TE
Male	73	100.0	20.5	23.3	38.4	17.8	67.1	N/A	N/A
Female	83	100.0	10.8	36.1	30.4	22.9	66.3	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group	00	100.0	10.0	30.1	30.1	22.5	00.5	IN/A	11/7
White	96	100.0	6.3	27.1	38.5	28.1	81.3	YES	YES
African-American	56	100.0	28.6	37.5	26.8	7.1	42.9	YES	YES
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/5
Hispanic	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/5
American Indian/Alaskan	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/:
Disability Status		",5	,,5	.,5	.,5	,,5	.,5	,,5	1/
Not Disabled	135	100.0	10.4	29.6	37.0	23.0	72.6	N/A	N/
Disabled	21	100.0	47.6	33.3	14.3	4.8	28.6	I/S	1/3
Migrant Status		100.0	11.0	00.0	1 1.0		20.0	., 0	.,
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	156	100.0	15.4	30.1	34.0	20.5	66.7	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency		100.0		00.1	0 1.0	20.0	00.1	14,7 (
Limited English Proficient	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S]/:
Non-Limited English Proficient	154	100.0	14.3	30.5	34.4	20.8	67.5	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status		100.0	1 1.0	00.0	0	20.0	01.0	14,7 (1 47
Subsidized meals	69	100.0	27.5	37.7	23.2	11.6	43.5	YES	YE
Full-pay meals	87	100.0	5.7	24.1	42.5	27.6	85.1	N/A	N/A
	Mathemati	•	•	•	•	•			
All Students	156	100.0	17.9	23.1	37.2	21.8	64.1	YES	YE:
Gender	100	100.0	17.5	20.1	57.2	21.0	04.1	I ILO	1
Male	73	100.0	15.1	21.9	41.1	21.9	68.5	N/A	N/A
Female	83	100.0	20.5	24.1	33.7	21.7	60.2	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group	00	100.0	20.5	24.1	33.7	21.7	00.2	IN/A	11//
White	96	100.0	10.4	18.8	40.6	30.2	78.1	YES	YE
African-American	56	100.0	30.4	30.4	33.9	5.4	41.1	YES	YES
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/3
Hispanic	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/:
American Indian/Alaskan	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/3
Disability Status	'	1/5	1/5	1/5	1/5	1/5	1/5	1/5	1/-
Not Disabled	135	100.0	12.6	23.0	40.7	23.7	69.6	N/A	N/
Disabled	21	100.0	52.4	23.8	14.3	9.5	28.6	I/S	14/
Migrant Status		100.0	U2.∓	20.0	17.0	5.5	20.0	1,5	1/*
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/
Non-Migrant	156	100.0	17.9	23.1	37.2	21.8	64.1	N/A	N/
English Proficiency	100	100.0	11.0	20.1	01.2	21.0	U-7.1	13/7	11/
Limited English Proficient	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/:
Non-Limited English Proficient	154	100.0	17.5	22.7	37.7	22.1	64.9	N/A	N/
Socio-Economic Status	104	100.0	17.0	22.1	07.7	22.1	04.0	13//1	1 1/2
Subsidized meals	69	100.0	34.8	26.1	27.5	11.6	43.5	YES	YE
Full-pay meals	87	100.0	4.6	20.7	44.8	29.9	80.5	N/A	N/

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

Batesburg-Leesville High				3203026	
SCHOOL PROFILE					
	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School	
Students (n= 618)					
Retention rate	8.6%	Down from 11.9%	8.6%	9.1%	
Attendance rate	95.0%	Up from 92.7%	96.2%	96.0%	
Eligible for gifted and talented	16.3%	Up from 13.9%	9.7%	5.8%	
With disabilities other than speech	11.9%	Up from 10.9%	13.2%	12.7%	
Older than usual for grade Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	14.2% 1.0%	Down from 16.9% Down from 4.3%	8.4% 2.9%	9.8% 1.6%	
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	0.0%	No change	10.5%	10.2%	
Successful on AP/IB exams	N/AV		53.8%	53.8%	
Annual dropout rate	3.9%	Down from 4.6%	3.1%	2.7%	
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	14.5%	Down from 20.9%	2.8%	3.6%	
Enrollment in career/technology center courses		Up from 462	551	466	
Students participating in worked-based experiences	49.1%	Up from 44.2%	30.5%	25.7%	
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	81.8%	Up from 78.8%	80.5%	77.7%	
Career/technology completers placed	96.5%	Down from 98.9%	98.9%	99.3%	
Teachers (n= 38)					
Teachers with advanced degrees	42.1%	Down from 48.9%	54.9%	52.0%	
Continuing contract teachers	92.1%	Up from 86.7%	83.8%	82.1%	
Highly qualified teachers**	93.3%	N/A	88.9%	89.5%	
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	5.6%		6.3%	8.6%	
Teachers returning from previous year Teacher attendance rate	87.5% 96.2%	Down from 88.5% Up from 96.1%	88.1% 95.7%	86.2% 95.3%	
Average teacher salary	\$41,600	Up 4.4%	\$41,600	\$41,060	
Prof. development days/teacher	13.4 days	Up from 12.2 days	10.0 days	10.6 days	
School					
Principal's years at school	7.0	Up from 6.0	3.5	3.0	
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	26.7 to 1	Down from 31.3 to 1	28.0 to 1	26.4 to 1	
Prime instructional time	89.2% \$6,970	Up from 87.2% Down 2.2%	90.7% \$6,315	90.0%	
Dollars spent per pupil* Percent of expenditures for teacher	59.3%	Up from 57.3%	57.4%	\$6,310 57.9%	
salaries*					
Opportunities in the arts	Excellent 97.9%	No change	Excellent 88.2%	Excellent 89.3%	
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	Yes	Down from 99.0% No change	oo.2% Yes	Yes	
Character development program	Good	N/A	Good	Good	
* Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Good	Our District		ate	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty	schools**	93.3%		.0%	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty		93.5 % N/A		.1%	
inging quantica teachers in riigh povert	y 30110013	State Objective		Objective	
Highly qualified teachers in this school*	65.0%		es		
Student attendance in this school 95.3% No					
**NOTE: The verification process was not complete.	d for the year re				

^{**}NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

2003-04 was a year of some very positive changes at Batesburg-Leesville High School, being guided by a new slogan, "New Beginnings - New Expectations." An academic assistance period was held each morning for teachers to tutor, provide make-up work, and conduct student-parent-teacher conferences. A third lunch period created a better climate, eliminating long waits in line and less crowded conditions. The alternative school served approximately 75 students at various times and in various programs. In accordance with federal and state laws, the HSAP (High School Assessment Program) and EOCEP (End-of-Course Examination Program) were implemented. Sixty-two students earned 457 college credits through Midlands Technical College. Medical Terminology was offered for the first time. Seven new faculty members were welcomed. Two teachers earned National Board Certification, bringing our total to 6. Four students were accepted to various Governor's Schools.

As usual, athletics soared, with both the baseball team and football team winning Upper State Championships. Eight other athletic teams made the state playoffs. Two football players were named to the AA All State Team, including the Offensive Player of the Year; and two baseball players made all-state, including the AA Player of the Year.

The fine arts program excelled with 3 students in chorus being named to All-State and ACDA Honors Choruses, as well as one student presenting in the S. C. Piano Honors Recital. The Band received the Outstanding Performance Award, fourth in the Lower State Marching Championships, ninth in the State, Superior Rating in the SC Senior Level Concert Festival, and 20 students received Superior at the SC Solo and Ensemble Festival. Two students in visual arts exhibited at the Sumter Gallery of Art.

In other extra-curricular achievements on the national and state levels, one student received the National Beta Club John W. Harris Leadership Award, one student was named by Time Warner Cable as the Star Student of the Year, one student placed first in the HOSA Medical Assisting competition, and four students placed first in various state FCCLA competitions.

The class of 2004 received \$3 million in scholarships, in addition to 48.5 % qualifying for either a two or four year LIFE Scholarship. Twenty-four students earned the BLHS Academic Diploma; 5, the Diploma for Professional Technologies; and 1, the State Academic Diploma.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS							
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*				
Number of surveys returned	44	112	30				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	90.9%	58.9%	66.7%				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	95.3%	74.1%	63.3%				
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	64.3%	84.8%	64.3%				
*Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without	out grade 11, only	the highest grade	was included.				